



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,540	12/10/2004	Josephus Arnoldus Henricus Kahlman	NL 020508	5678
24737	7590	03/17/2009		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001			DINH, TAN X	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/517,540	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAHLMAN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> TAN X. DINH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2627

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2009.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-16 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 6-10,17 and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1668)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

1) A Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(c) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on **2/20/2009** has been entered.

The amendment/preliminary amendment filed 1/16/2009 is acknowledged. New claims **11-18** have been added.

2) Claims **6 and 7** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The paragraph " In an embodiment ... during said movement " ( claim 6 ) is unclear and cannot be understood. Where is this paragraph come from ?.

3) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to

which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4) This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5) Claims 6-10,17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over OHNO et al (6,373,799).

ONO et al discloses a record carrier as claimed in claim 6, comprising a track for carrying marks representing information ( Fig.4A, optical disc 2 ), which record carrier comprises an integrated circuit comprising communication means for contactless communicating with a device and a power supply coil for generating supply power from low frequency magnetic flux changes ( Figure 1 shows the circuits inside IC 3, figure 2 show the lay-out of IC 3, Fig.4B, coil and core 18. See column 6, lines 3-15. In this case,

the coil and core 18 is connected to electromagnetic receiver 10 for contactless receiving power ), except to specifically show that (i) the power supply to IC is magnetic flux with dimension and same order in the direction movement of IC and (ii) power supply only available as soon as record carrier is rotated at a predetermined speed. It would have been obvious to someone within the level of skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use magnetic flux as claimed in ONO et al's recording carrier for powering the IC. The rationale is as follows:

a) ONO et al suggests in figures 1,2,4A and 4B that the electromagnetic receiver 10, coil and core 18 together with rectifier 14 are formed as power receiver and capable of receiving power wirelessly from outside IC 3 ( see column 6, lines 3-15 ), the rectifier 14 and power circuit 16 will convert signals from electromagnetic receiver 10 into power, and

(b) the principle of generating power from magnetic flux is that the magnetic array must be arranged to creates flux area having dimension in the movement direction and same order of magnitude as dimension of the magnetic coils, by this arrangement, a large change in magnetic flux can be achieved over small displacement of the magnetic coils. Therefore anyone of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the invention was made would have been motivated to modify the power receiver of ONO et al's optical IC disc for receiving magnetic flux as claimed.

Claim 7 is rejected with the same reasons set forth in last Office action.

Claim 8 is rejected with the same reasons set forth in claim 6 above.

Claim 9 is rejected with the same reasons set forth in last Office action.

Claim 10 is rejected with the same reasons set forth in claim 6 above.

As to claims 17 and 18, to wind power supply coils on any desirable elements/devices are found to be within the level of skill in the art.

6) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

7) Claims 1-5 and 11-16 are allowed.

8) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant is reminded that in amending in response to a rejection of claims ( if the rejection involves with any applicable

arts ), the patentable novelty must be clearly shown in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited and the objection made. Applicant must also show how the amendments avoid such references and objections. See 37 CFR § 1.111(c).

Form PTO-892 is attached herein.

9) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAN Xuan DINH whose telephone number is (571)272-7586. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00AM to 5:00PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/TAN Xuan DINH/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627  
March 13, 2009